	eviewer	Department/Agency	d Nature Haven Crescent - CONSOLIDATED COMMENTS RESPONSE MATRIX  Comments	Responsible	Response
	Pickering: City Develo			rtooponoisio	тосренее
	ed Zoning Standards				
1			The proposed zoning standards are in keeping with the existing built form of the adjacent subdivision to the west. With your second submission, please revise the materials to address the following matters:  1. Lots 3, 6, 7 & 8 have lot frontages ranging between 13.9 and 14.4 metres, whereas the proposed	DPS	Noted. See updated draft plan. The
			minimum lot frontage in the submitted draft zoning by-law is 15.0 metres. Please update the draft plan of subdivision to comply with a minimum lot frontage of 15.0 metres. If this provision cannot be complied with, please provide a rationale as to why a reduced lot frontage is necessary for Lots 3, 6, 7 & 8.		lot frontages will meet the minimum lot frontage requirement of 15.0m measuared on a line 7.5 metres back from the front lo
2			Please note, within the City's Zoning By-laws, lot frontage is measured as the horizontal distance between the side lot lines. Where such lot lines are not parallel, the lot frontage is the distance between the side lot lines measured on a line 7.5 metres back from the front lot line and parallel to if	DPS	line and parallel to it.
3	Isabel Lima	City Development Department	2. Please update the site plan to show the distance between the last stair of the front porches and the front lot lines. In addition, please show the distance between the main wall of the dwellings (excluding the attached private garage walls) and the front lot lines.	jardin	See updated site plan.
4			3. Through the implementing zoning by-law, staff will recommend a minimum front yard depth of 6.0 metres between the main wall of the attached private garage and the front lot line. Please ensure compliance with this provision.	jardin;DPS	The distance from private garage and the front lot line have been shown on the site plan. The minimum front yard depth of 6.0m between garag and front lot line have been achieved for all proposed lots.
5			4. Please update the site plan to show the interior garage dimensions. Through the implementing zoning by-law, staff will recommend that each parking space within a private garage have a minimum width of 2.9 metres and a minimum depth of 6.0 metres. Please ensure compliance with this provision.	jardin	See updated Site Plan. The garage width has been increased to 5.8m (2.9m for each parking space).
ree Coi	mpensation				
			During the statutory public meeting, comments were received from an area resident regarding the submitted Arborist Report & Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan, prepared by Beacon Environmental Limited. Specifically, the resident was concerned about the removal of Tree #177 on the plan. The submitted Arborist Report recommends that all 42 trees located within the limits of the subject property be removed in order to accommodate the proposed development.	Davis	Tree No. 177 is a mature American Elm tree which has a moderate tolerance to construction. Based on the tree's age and tolerance to construction, there is no opportunity to preserve this tree based on the proposed road infrastructure
6			Staff acknowledge that Tree #177 is located within an area of land that is proposed to be conveyed to the City for the construction of a tyrical between Neture Hayre Crossent.	Beacon	improvements The preservation of Tree No.
	Isabel Lima	City Development Department	to the City for the construction of a turning elbow on Nature Haven Crescent.		177 would require a tree protection zone of 9.6 m which cannot be accommodated while maintaining the proposed road widening.
7				Beacon	

	,				
			Staff request the applicant explore opportunities to preserve trees on site that are located within the		The proposed grading plan is
			proposed rear yards. For example, Trees #175, #174, #167, #163, #164 and #161 are located within		for the entirety of the lots to be
			the rear yards and appear to be located outside of the area of construction. Based on the findings of		graded, matching existing at
			the Arborist Report, Trees #175, #174, #164 and #161 are in good condition and Trees #167 and		the limit of the lot lines.
8			#163 are in fair to good condition.	Beacon	
			Please note, as a condition of draft plan approval, the applicant will be required to prepare and		Wil be prepared as a condition
9			submit a Landscape Plan, which will provide details regarding replacement tree plantings.	Beacon	of draft plan
Rouge	Park Neighbourhood S	Study			
			Please note, as a condition of draft plan approval, the applicant will be required to pay their		
	Isabel Lima	City Development Department	proportionate share of the cost of the Rouge Park Neighbourhood Study prior to the registration of		
10			the subdivision agreement.	Owner	Noted.
Outsta	nding Application Fees	S			
			The application fee for the Draft Plan of Subdivision application is \$39,130, and \$21,300 for the		
	Isabel Lima	City Development Department	Zoning By-law Amendment application, totaling \$60,430. It is our understanding that a total of		
	ISabel Lilla	City Development Department	\$56,830 was paid for the applications in June of 2022. With your second submission, please		
11			provide a cheque for the outstanding amount of \$3.600.	Owner	Noted.
Public	Comments				
			In addition to the comments about Tree #177, the following comments and concerns were received		
12			from an area resident at the public meeting:		
			- Questioned if it is possible to avoid the dewatering process during construction;		A construction management
					has been included as part of
13				Valdor	the resubmisison package.
			- Concerned about the effects of the dewatering process on the existing neighbourhood, based		A construction management
			upon the effects felt when the houses on the south side of Finch Avenue were built;		has been included as part of
14				Valdor	the resubmisison package.
			- Suffered damage to their home due to soil settling once the water in the soil was removed,		A pre-condition assessment
	Isabel Lima	City Development Department	including cracks in foundation, basement leaks, windows cracking, and the street cracking;		will be prepared prior to
15	ISADEI LIITIA	Oity Development Department		Valdor	servicing
			- Concerned about noise related to dewatering;		A construction management
					has been included as part of
16				Owner; Valdor	the resubmisison package.
			- In previous experience, the pumps run 24/7 and caused sleep issues for neighbouring property		A construction management
			owners;		plan will be prepared a the
					detailed engineering design
17				Owner; Valdor	stage
			- Would like to see the City's and the Builder's commitment that the dewatering and construction will		
18			not inadvertently damage their house as it previously has.	Owner	Noted.
Comm	ents from Planning and	d Development Committee			
			During the public meeting, the Planning & Development Committee raised the following		
19			comments/concerns:		
			- Does the applicant agree to a condition of draft plan approval to require the submission of pre-		Acknowledge. The owner is
			condition surveys and post-development site inspections to ensure no damage is done to		agreeable to a condition of
			neighbouring properties as a result of the construction?		draft plan approval for this
20				Owner; DPS	request.
			- As part of the proposed development, is the applicant proposing any pathways/walkways for		No, there are no
			residents to use to access the land owned by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority to the		pathways/walks proposed to
	Isabel Lima	City Development Department	north and west?		access the land owned by the
21		•		DPS	TRCA

The tree is likely more than 70 years old, based on species and cumulative diameter. This is an educated guess based		1 1		D		
With your second submission, please provide a cover letter indicating how each comment/concern outlined above has been addressed. The following materials are required to accompany your next submission:				- Requested the applicant confirm the age of Tree #177.		years old, based on species and cumulative diameter. This is an educated guess based on published growth factors for
With your second submission, please provide a cover letter indicating how each comment/concern outlined above has been addressed. The following materials are required to accompany your next submission:   Alariza providing a written response to all comments received from the City Development Department agencies, the Planning & Development Committee and residents (digital);   DPS   Noted Department, agencies, the Planning & Development Committee and residents (digital);   Jardin   See revised site plan   See revised plan					Beacon	the species.
outlined above has been addressed. The following materials are required to accompany your next submission:  - Matrix providing a written response to all comments received from the City Development Department agencies. the Planning & Development Committee and residents (digital);  - Revised Site Plan (digital);  - Revised Site Plan (digital);  - Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital);  - Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital);  - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600;  - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600;  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation  -	Concl	usion		<u>,                                      </u>		
Department, agencies, the Planning & Development Committee and residents (digital); DPS   Noted.    26   Isabel Lima   City Development Department    27   28	23			outlined above has been addressed. The following materials are required to accompany your next submission:		
- Revised Site Pitan (digital); - Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital); - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600; - Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital); - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600; - Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital); - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600; - Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital)						
- Revised Draft Zoning By-law (digital);  - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600;  - Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600;  - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation  Authority or Engineering Services.  - The City may, at the time of a recommendation report to Council, require drawings in CAD or GIS format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoCAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone  29  - Accessibility Standards  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility or Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  - All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility O						
Sabel Lima   City Development Department   City Development Department	25				jardin	
27 28 - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  The City may, at the time of a recommendation report to Council, require drawings in CAD or GIS format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoCAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone 17N.  Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at ilima@pickering.ca.	26	Isabel Lima	City Development Department		DPS	proposed draft ZBA. Original ZBA included as part of the
27 28 - Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services.  The City may, at the time of a recommendation report to Council, require drawings in CAD or GIS format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoCAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone 17N.  Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at ilima@pickering.ca.			, ,	- Cheque for the outstanding application fees of \$3,600;		Noted, fees will be provided by
- Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority or Engineering Services. The City may, at the time of a recommendation report to Council, require drawings in CAD or GIS format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoCAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone 17N.  Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at illima@pickering.ca.				3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
Authority or Engineering Services. The City may, at the time of a recommendation report to Council, require drawings in CAD or GIS format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoCAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone 17N.  Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at ilima@pickering.ca.	27				Owner	is made.
The City may, at the time of a recommendation report to Council, require drawings in CAD or GIS format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoCAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone 17N.    Accessibility Standards				- Any documents or revisions required by the Region of Durham, Toronto and Region Conservation		FSR and Functional Plans
format (compatible with either ArcGis Desktop 10.7.1, ArcGis Pro 2.6.1, or AutoČAD Map 3D 2018), and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone DPS; jardin CAD drawings  Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at illima@pickering.ca.	28				Valdor	have been revised
and such files need to be georeferenced with a geographic coordinate system of: NAD83 UTM Zone 17N.  Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at illima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham						
Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  City Development Department  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at ilima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham						
Accessibility Standards  All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at ilima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham						
All studies, reports, plans or presentations that result from this project must meet the Province's Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  City Development Department  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at ilima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham				17N.	DPS; jardin	CAD drawings
Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards.  A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors attached to provide assistance on creating an accessible PDF document.  City Development Department  City Development Department  Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at illima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham	Acces	sibility Standards				
Please arrange a meeting with City staff to discuss the above-noted comments prior to finalizing your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at illima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham		Isabel Lima	City Development Department	Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) Information and Communications Standards. A letter of verification stating that the documents submitted are in an accessible format and meet the AODA standards will be required. Please see the Accessible Document Checklist for Vendors		Noted.
your second submission.  For further information or clarification, please contact me at 905.420.4660, extension 2053 or at illima@pickering.ca.  Region of Durham	30		,		all consultants	
31 ilima@pickering.ca. Region of Durham						Noted.
	31					
Conformity to the Regional Official Plan	Regio	n of Durham				
	Confo	rmity to the Regional Of	ficial Plan		·	

			The subject lands are designated as "Living Areas" in the Regional Official Plan (ROP). Lands within the Living Areas must include a mixture of housing types, size and tenure to satisfy the diverse housing needs of the residents of Durham.		Please see the revised EIS (April 2024)
			Petticoat Creek is located approximately 40 metres east of the proposed development. Key natural heritage and/or hydrologic features (KNHHF) associated with Petticoat Creek exists also north of the property.		
	Vannitha Chanthavong		Policy 2.3.43 of the ROP indicates that development proposals in proximity to KNHHF must be supported by an "Environmental Impact Study" (EIS). Beacon Environmental Ltd. has conducted an "EIS," dated December 2021, to review the potential adverse impacts of the proposed development on the natural systems.		
		Department	Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) has reviewed the EIS and other supporting information submitted to support of the proposed application. TRCA has identified environmental concerns with the proposed development which are outlined in their comment letter dated December 16, 2022. TRCA's concerns relate to the natural hazard limits and setback requirements for the site. TRCA has requested that an erosion analysis and other additional supporting work be completed to address TRCA's comments prior to the approval of the proposed development.		
32			TRCA's concerns are discussed further below on Page 4 of this report.  We will confirm the conformity of the proposed application with the Region's Official Plan once the applicant has addressed the concerns of the TRCA.	Beacon	
Provi	ncial Plans and Policies	3	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	•	
			Provincial Policy Statement		Noted.
			The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) encourages the development of a mix of housing forms to meet current and future housing needs. The PPS supports efficient development patterns that will efficiently use land, infrastructure, and public service facilities. The proposed development supports residential infilling through compact development and efficient use of existing infrastructure.		
			Policy 2.1.8 of the PPS states, "Development and site alteration shall not be permitted on adjacent lands to the natural heritage features and areas identified in policies 2.1.4, 2.1.5, and 2.1.6 unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or their ecological functions."		
33	Vannitha Chanthavong	Planner, Regional Planning and Economic Development Department	TRCA has requested for additional supporting information to be provided to support the proposed development. We will confirm the consistency of the proposed application with the policies of the PPS when TRCA's concerns have been addressed.	Beacon	

	]		Growth Plan		Please see the revised EIS
					(April 2024)
			The Growth Plan supports compact developments and a mix of housing options to serve all sizes,		
			incomes, and ages of households. The Growth Plan also supports protecting the Region's natural		
			heritage systems and biodiversity for the long-term. New developments or site		
			alterations must demonstrate that there would be no negative impacts to natural heritage systems		
			or their functions.		
			The proposed infill development supports mix of housing and compact development and generally		
			conforms with the direction of the Growth Plan. However, a final determination on conformity of the		
			proposed development with the Growth Plan will require the applicant to demonstrate the application		
			will not have any adverse impacts to the abutting natural		
			systems.		
34				Beacon; DPS	
Delec	ated Provincial Plan Re	view Responsibilities		1	
			Noise Feasibility Study		
			The subject lands abut Finch Avenue, a Type 'C' Arterial Road and is located approximately 160		
			metres south of the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) corridor. The proposed development is		
			supported by a "Noise Feasibility Study," prepared by HGC Engineering Ltd., dated February 23,		
			2021.		
			The report indicated that noise mitigative measures are required for the proposed development to		
			comply with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks' (MECP) noise guidelines.		
			The noise mitigative measures required for the proposed development includes:		
			an acoustical barrier, mandatory central air conditioning, provision for future installation of air		
			conditioning, and the CPR and MECPs' noise warning clauses.		
			The recommended noise mitigative measures of the Noise Feasibility Study must be included in		
			City of Pickering's Subdivision Agreement to the satisfaction of the Region of Durham. The noise		
			requirement can be addressed through the Region's future conditions of draft approval for the		
35	;		subdivision application.	Owner;HGC;DPS	Noted
	1		Phase One Environmental Site Assessment	. ,, -	See updated ESA dated May
					2, 2023 and Reliance Letter as
			Soil Engineers Ltd. had conducted a "Phase One Environmental Site Assessment," (Phase One		part of the resubmission
			ESA) for the proposed development, dated January 20, 2020. The Phase One ESA was completed		
			to identify any potential contaminating activity at the property. The Phase One ESA		
			did not report any environmental concerns at the site.		
			Please note, the Phase One ESA has exceeded the Provincial 18-month timeframe. The Region will		
			only accept current environmental site work less than 18-months. The Region will require a		
			Qualified Person (QP) to provide an "Updated Environmental Letter" indicating		
			that the environmental site condition remains appropriate for residential development.		
		Planner, Regional Planning and	and the street state of the control of the state of the s		
	Vannitha Chanthavong	Economic Development	The QP who conducts the Updated Environmental Letter will be required to complete the Region's		
		Department	"Reliance Letter" and "Certificate of Insurance" forms for the ESA(s) (refer to Attachments 1 and 2).		
36	s			Soil Engineers	
	- '			-	

	]		Archaeology		See Ministry Letter submitted.
			A "Stage 1-2 Archaeological Assessment," (AA) was prepared for the subject lands by ASI, dated June 8, 2020. The AA reported that there were no archaeological resources at the property and no additional archaeological assessment is recommended.		
37			The Stage 1-2 AA must be sent to the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM) for final approval. The Region will require a clearance letter from the MCM indicating all cultural heritage resource requirements at the site has been met. The Region can make the archaeological clearance requirement as a future condition of approval for the subdivision application.	ASI	
			Environmental Impact Study  The neighbouring lands north of the site contains natural heritage systems associated with Petticoat Creek. Beacon Environmental Ltd. had conducted an "EIS," for the proposed development, dated December 2021.		Beacon has prepared a Geomorphic Assessment (2024) and an updated EIS (2024) both are included in the resubmission.
			TRCA has reviewed the EIS and other supporting information and identified environmental concerns with the proposed application associated with the hazard limits and setback requirements. The EIS must be updated to identify the staked vegetation dripline for the site.  TRCA has asked for additional supporting information including a fluvial geomorphology study, an erosion analysis and a construction sequencing plan to be provided for review and consideration in their comment letter dated December 16, 2022.		
38			The Region we will further consider the proposed development when TRCA's concerns have been satisfied.	Beacon	
Regio	nal Servicing		In the same of the	T	
			Municipal Water Supply  The proposed development is located within the Zone 2 water pressure district of the water supply system for Pickering.		
	Vannitha Chanthavong	Planner, Regional Planning and Economic Development	The proposed development must be serviced via the existing 150mm dia. watermain within the Nature Haven Crescent right-of-way (R.O.W.) and the existing 250mm diametre watermain within the Finch Avenue R.O.W.		
39		Department	Some units on Nature Haven Crescent may require 25mm water connections to the distance between the houses and the watermain.	Valdor	Noted
	1		Sanitary Sewer Servicing		
40			The proposed development must be serviced via the existing 200mm dia. sanitary sewer within the Nature Haven Crescent R.O.W. and the existing 200mm dia. sanitary sewer within the Finch Avenue R.O.W.	Valdor	Noted
	e Management		JAVORIUG IX.O.VV.	v aluul	140100
			The Region of Durham provides recycling and waste collection service for residential lots of draft plans of subdivision and freehold townhouse blocks in the City of Pickering.		
	l l		ľ		
Л4	Vannitha Chanthavong	Planner, Regional Planning and Economic Development Department	On June 3, 2021, Ontario filed O.Reg. 391/21 under the Resource Recovery and Circular Economy Act that will make product producers responsible for the Blue Box program including collection. Once transition occurs, the Region of Durham will no longer be the service provider for the Blue Box program. The transition of responsibility of the Blue Box program to product producers in Durham Region is expected to occur in 2024. Please see O.Reg. 391/21 for full details.		Noted

42	Vannitha Chanthavong	Planner, Regional Planning and Economic Development Department	Durham Region Transit indicated that bus stop locations should be protected for at the intersections of Finch Avenue Westbound and Nature Haven Crescent. The protected bus stop zones should follow the Region's standard drawings and bus stop guidelines (refer to Attachment 3).	DPS	Noted
Concl	usion			•	•
	Vannitha Chanthavong	Planner, Regional Planning and Economic Development	The proposed infill subdivision development supports housing opportunities and compact development and is complementary with the character of the existing neighbourhood. However, the Toronto Region Conservation Authority has concerns with the proposed development relating to the natural hazard limits, erosion, and development setback requirements for the site. TRCA's concerns must be resolved prior to the Region further reviewing the conformity of the proposed application with the Region's Official Plan.		Noted
43	3	ti c	The Toronto Region Conservation Authority has outlined the environmental issues associated with the proposed development in their comment letter dated December 16, 2022. The Region's comments for the proposed development are preliminary at this time unit the TRCA's concerns have been satisfied.	Beacon; DPS	
			Please contact Ms. Vannitha Chanthavong at (905) 668-4113, ext. 2543, should you have any		
44	to and Basian Canasau	ation Authority	questions regarding our comments.		Noted
	to and Region Conserva General Comments	ation Authority			
INCA	General Comments		1. Feature and hazard limits to be identified and refined to reflect staking limits andequested studies		Natural feature limits and
			(ex. fluvial geomorphology study) and the applicable TRCA setbacks.		hazards and associated setbacks are shown on Figure 3 of the EIS. The proposed develoment is outside of all features, hazards and associated setbacks.
45				Beacon	
	Stephanie Dore	Development Planning and Permits	2. Confirmation of safe access	B V.II	The subject site has safe access to Finsh Avenue and Nature Haven Crescent as
46				Beacon; Valdor	indicated in the FSR.
47			3. Erosion threshold analysis required to inform on-site retention reuirements	Beacon; Valdor	Based on the relatively small size of the site on-site retention is based on the standard 5mm rainfall retention as indicated in the FSR.
Concl	usions				
48		Development Planning and Permits	TRCA staff are recommending deferral of application Nos. SP-2022-01 and A 03/22 until such time that the comments below have been addressed. The next submission should be accompanied by a cover letter which identifies the requested revisions.	Beacon	This response matrix serves to identify the revisions made to address comments.
Devel	opment Planning Comm	nents		_	
49			1. TRCA staff generally agree with the top of bank as identified within the EIS, prepared by Beacon Environmental and dated December 2021. The top of bank limit should be identified at an elevation of 140 masl (meters above sea level) and should be updated on all relevant drawings: Site Plan, Grading Plan, Servicing Plan, ESC Plan, etc., including the required TRCA 10-meter buffer.	Beacon	The top of bank is depicted at an elevation of 140 masl on Figures 2 & 3 of the revised EIS (2024) and a 10 m buffer has been provied.

			2. TRCA staff previously staked a dripline of vegetation for the subject site in July, 2020 in		See Figures 2 & 3 of revised
			consultation with Beacon Environmental. This limit was also discussed with Beacon via their		EIS (2024)
			November 2020 Staking Memo. Although the staked dripline contains vegetation which is primarily		
			located on the TRCA owned lands to the east, the limit still needs to be reflected within the EIS with		
			the appropriate setbacks to receive a full picture of all features/hazards applicable to this		
			application. Please update the EIS and Survey to reflect this limit as part of the next submission,		
			along with the relevant drawings: Site Plan, Grading Plan, Servicing Plan, ESC Plan, etc.	<b>D</b>	
50				Beacon	
			3. The TRCA actively works with our municipal partners to ensure the long-term protection and		Acknowledged. According to
			enhancement of our valley and ravine features across the jurisdiction. Within the TRCA Living City		updated EIS, the limits of
			Policies, the policy reads:		features (top of bank,
					woodland, floodplain) are
		Development Planning and	Section 7.3.2 It is the policy of TRCA:		located adjacent to the subject
	Stephanie Dore		a) That as a recommended condition of planning approvals, TRCA may request that all lands that		property on the east/northeast
		Permits	are identified as part of the Natural System, be conveyed into public ownership.		limits, and not within the
					subject property.
			b) To proceed with acquisition of Natural System lands through the planning process in partnership		subject property.
			with the landowner(s), his/her agent(s), and the municipality.		
			with the randowner(s), his/her agent(s), and the municipality.		
			N. To advanta that for a involve multiple companying for a provide and a provide at the best particular of		
			c) To advocate that fee simple public ownership, free encumbrances, provides the best protection of		
51			the Natural System, for the long term, and is therefore the most preferred form of ownership.	DPS	
			TRCA staff will continue to work with the applicant to refine the applicable natural feature and	5.0	Acknowledged. According to
			natural hazard limits for the subject site. Once all limits have been identified and confirmed by		updated EIS, the limits of
			·		
			TRCA, we will then request that the owner convey the feature or hazard plus buffer into public		features (top of bank,
			ownership for long term preservation. These limits should be identified as "lands to be conveyed		woodland, floodplain) are
			into public ownership" on a Site Plan at the time that these limits are agreed to in principle. Further		located adjacent to the subject
			discussion between the City, TRCA and the applicant should take place regarding the conveyance		property on the east/northeast
			of the open space block.		limits, and not within the
					subject property. Therefore no
					conveyance of open space
52				DPS	block is required.
	Resources Comments			ы о	·
water	ixesources comments		1. It appears that the Degianal storm floodalain depths everton Fineh Ave and Weedview Ave		
			4. It appears that the Regional storm floodplain depths overtop Finch Ave and Woodview Ave		
			surrounding the subject site. Please demonstrate that safe access has been provided to the subject		
			development. Should you need to receive the applicable floodplain modelling to complete this		
			request, kindly contact Stephanie Dore at stephanie.dore@trca.ca to assist in this coordination.		
53				Valdor	Noted.
			5. Please provide a fluvial geomorphology study to delineate the meander belt width and/or 100-		A Geomorphic Assessment
			year erosion hazard limit and ensure that all proposed development is located outside of it and its		has been prepared by Beacon
			applicable buffer.		(2024) and is included in this
54				Beacon	resubmission
			6. As the subject site is greenfield development that drains to the watercourse, and the area will be		Based on the relatively small
			mostly impervious under existing conditions, please provide an erosion threshold analysis study to		size of the site on-site
			inform the on-site retention requirements. In lieu of a erosion threshold analysis, please provide		retention is based on the
			25mm on site retention via dispersed through out the site with maximum 72 hour drawdown time.		standard 5mm rainfall
			25/11/11 on site retention via dispersed through out the site with maximum 72 hour didwdown time.		
				Daggary Valder	retention as indicated in the
55			T. Diversity of T. Donner of the state of th	Beacon; Valdor	FSR.
			7. Please note the TRCA quantity control in the area is to control the post-development peak flows		
			to the pre-development levels for all storms up to an including the 100-year storm. However, as the		
			subject development will outlet to existing municipal infrastructure, TRCA defers the review of the		Noted, TRCA defers review to
56			quantity control and peak flow comparison to the City of Pickering.	Valdor	City
	-				

Stephanio Duro  Development Planning and Permits  Development Planning and Permits  Development Planning and Permits  Development Planning and Permits  Additional neasures required as a part of the Strin on several planning and understanding of the provided the provided provided as quality treatment and would constitute a treatment train.  Additionally, Brease provides strong activations of the size with soaksway pits in rearry ands. However, the majority of the size of land to an inflitration system prior to discharging offsitus. The proposed inflitation focations will only provide the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  Set of the proposed in a small portion of the size will access development.  Set of the proposed inflitation focations will only provide the design dark provided the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  Set of the proposed inflitation focations will only provide the existing QGS has capacity to provide the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  Set of the proposed inflitation focations will only provide the existing QGS has capacity to provide the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  Set of the proposed inflitation focations will only provide the existing QGS has capacity to the subject development.  Set of the proposed inflitation focations will only provide the design from roof leaders to the soaksway pits in rearry and the subject development of the soaksway pits in rearry and the subject development of the soaksway pits in rearry and the soakswa	Г			8. The FSR states that water quality will be provided through the use of an existing OGS. Please note that TRCA has taken a position parallel to the City of Toronto where by OGS units, regardless		LID measures in the form of soak-away pits have been
Stephanio Dore  Development Planning and Permits  and enter the existing storm sever. Please investigate all potential options further, with the understanding intal LID measures required as part of the 5mm on-site retention are considered as quality treatment and would constitute as treatment train.  Additionally, please provide sizing calculations demonstrating that the existing OGS has capacity to provide the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  9. Infiltration has been proposed in a small portion of the site with soaksway pits in rear yards. However, the majority of the site will not be directed to an infiltration system prior to discharging offsite. The proposed infiltration locations will only provide treating area. Per added to the design the soaksway pits in rear yards. However, the majority of the site will not be directed to an infiltration system prior to discharging area. Per added to the design the soaksway pits may be explored additional to the proposed relationship of the same proposed in a small portion of the same rate of the same proposed in the STR. The proposed infiltration locations will not provide treating a such, please deponded in the STR. The proposed intelligence is less than 3 miles and the case of the proposed in the STR. The proposed intelligence is less than 3 miles and the providence of the details included in the STR, then the minimum separation to the Buf Designation of the Utility of the measurement of the details included in the STR. The proposed intelligence is less than 3 miles and the providence of the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate him because the providence of the seasonally high groundwater have on the infiltration relations to make the seasonal high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate him because the providence of the seasonal high groundwater have on the infiltration relations to a seasonal high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate him because the provid				manufacturer, as a stand alone measure can achieve up to a 50% TSS removal. As TRCA requires 80% TSS removal, additional measures should be considered. For example, at source quality		added to the design.
Additionally, please provide sizing calculations demonstrating that the existing OGS has capacity to provide the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  9. Infiltration has been proposed in a small portion of the site with soakaway pits in rear yards. However, the majority of the site will not be directed to an infiltration system prior to discharging offsite. The proposed infiltration learned will only provide treatment for a small crainage area. Per the TRCA SWM Criteria (2012), 5 mm of runoff from all site area must be retained on site. As such, please explore additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (it.e. sending front roof leaders to please explores additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (it.e. sending front roof leaders to please explores additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (it.e. sending front roof leaders to please explores additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (it.e. sending front roof leaders to the soakaway pits, permeable powers in driveways, etc.).  10. It was noted in the Hydrogenological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater lave is less than 3 m from the existing gound surface. If the seasonally high groundwater lave in the seasonally high groundwater lave in the seasonally high groundwater lave and the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID Eastern provides to the assessment of appears as if the seasonally high groundwater lave not been measured on site. Please damonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID Eastern provides to the seasonally high groundwater lave and the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID Eastern provides to the seasonally high groundwater lave in the seasonally high groundwater la			Stephanie Dore	 enter the existing storm sewer. Please investigate all potential options further, with the understanding		
provide the designed TSS removal rate for the subject development.  9. Infiltration has been proposed in a small portion of the site with soakaway pits in rear yards.  However, the majority of the site will not be directed to an infiltration system prior to discharging offsite. The proposed infiltration locations will only provide treatment for a small drainage area. Per the TRCA SWM Criteria (2012), 5 mm of runoff from the state area must be retained on site. As such, please explore additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (i.e., sending front roof leaders to the soakaway pits, permeable pavers in driveways, extensive a reason of the site where the groundwater level is less than 3 m from the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation for the minimum separation to the groundwater level so the Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (soil Engineers, eburary Auditor Soil Engineers). Valdor/Soil Engineers and the base of the proposed influence to the soil proposed high proposed provide further influence and the seasonal provides further provides						
9. Inititation has been proposed in a small portion of the site with soakaway pits in rear yards. However, the majority of the site will not be directed in Inititation system prior to discharaging offsite. The proposed infiltration locations will only provide treament for a small drainage area. Per the TRCA SWM Criteria (2012), 5 mm of rundiff rearment for a small drainage area. Per the track of Criteria (2012), 5 mm of rundiff rearment for a small drainage area. Per the soakaway pits share been added to the design The soakaway pits will capture the roof areas.  10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater level is less than 3 m from the sotisting ground variance. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the groundwater level on the site of the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please of the proposed in the proposed in the proposed seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please of the met. Please of the met. Please of the proposed in the proposed in the proposed in the proposed seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please of the met. Ple		57			Valdor	
However, the majority of the site will not be directed to an infiltration system prior to discharging offsite. The proposed infiltration coations will only provide treatment for a small drainage area. Per added to the design The soake has the TRCA SVM Criteria (2012), 5 mm of runoff from all site area must be retained on site. As such, please explore additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (i.e. sending front root) relaedrs to the soakway pits, permeable pavers in driveways, etc.).  10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater level is less than 3 m from the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater lavel on the seasonally high groundwater lavel on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate how the prevail of the base of the proposed infiltration facilities to demonstrate the proposed interest of the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for gas higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of a single facility of the proposed further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  23. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petiticoat Creek with be maintained, please as included in the revised EIS (2024).	H	37		O Inditination has been proposed in a graph portion of the gite with application rise in goar yards	valuul	LID recognizes in the form of
offsite. The proposed infiltration locations will only provide treatment for a small drainage area. Per the TRCA SWM Criteria (2012), 5 mm of runoff from all site area must be retained on site. As such, please explore additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (i.e. sending front roof leaders to the soaksway pits, permeable pavers in drivews, etc.).  10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater level is the assisting or the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the botheroile logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers with elevation of the base of the proposed infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the botheroile logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), sity sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification sing a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Soil Engineers; Valdor  Soil Engineers; Valdor  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petiticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide under the provide Little and the provide				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
the TRCA SWM Criteria (2012), 5 mm of nurel from all site area must be retained on site. As such, please explore additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (i.e., sending from tool leaders to the soakaway pits, permeable pavers in driveways, etc.).  10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater level is less than 3 m from the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the use met. Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been the additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been the additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been the additionally in the proposed how the minimum separation from the bottom of the bease of the proposed how the minimum separation from the bottom of the bease on the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate 1.0 m separation. Yaldor/Soil Engineers 11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), silty sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present which the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petiticat Creek with be maintained, please on included in the revised EIS season 2024).  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that mables in complete or species						
please explore additional LID measures to retain the 5 mm on site (i.e. sending front roof leaders to the soakaway pits, permesbale pavers in driveways, etc.).  10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater level is less than 3 m from the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the groundwater may not be met. Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has bene measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate how the minimum separation to the groundwater level on the infiltration facilities are included in the facilities of the soak seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities are included in the facilities area included in the facilities area included in the facilities area included				, ,		S Comments
the soakaway pits, permeable pavers in driveways, etc.).  10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Ayrogeological Ayrogeologica						
10. It was noted in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, February 2021) that there are areas of the site where the groundwater levis less than 3 m from the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the groundwater may not be met. Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), silty sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justicin for using a higher infiltration rate in some U.  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide justicination on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.		5.9		· · ·	Valdor	aleas
areas of the site where the groundwater level is less than 3 m from the existing ground surface. If the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the groundwater may not be met. Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has something facility cross sections.  The period of the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate 1.0 m separation.  The representation of the infiltration facilities to groundwater level on the infiltration facilities to demonstrate 1.0 m separation.  The calculations of the soak-away pits are included in the FSR.  Soil Engineers; Valdor  The calculations for the soak-away pits are included in the FSR.  Soil Engineers; Valdor  A SWH Assessment has been included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petiticoal Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  4. Species at Risk Screening has been completed as hava habitat assessment and species s	-	30			Valuoi	The groundwater elevations
the bottom of the infiltration facilities range from 1.8 – 2.7 m deep according to the details included in the FSR, then the minimum separation from to the groundwater may not be met. Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LD1 facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), silty sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.    Ecology Comments						•
in the FSR, then the minimum separation to the groundwater may not be met. Additionally, it appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), sity sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  16. A SWH Assessment has been included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional habitat present.						
appears as if the seasonally high groundwater has not been measured on site. Please demonstrate how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), sitly sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlifer Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. Please demonstrate the bease of the proposed infiltration rate in fill infinition of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration rate in some LID demonstrate the soil to emonstrate the soil revenue as an ended in the FSR.  26. Soil Engineers: Valdor  Soil Engineers  A SWH Assessment has been included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)  15. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  16. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be an end of the provided previously analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the abstance of						
how the minimum separation from the bottom of the LID facilities to the seasonally high groundwater has been met. Please included the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration facility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), silty sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as fear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers)  The calculations for the soak-away pits are included in the FSR.  Soil Engineers; Valdor  A SWH Assessment has been included in the revised EIS Beacon  Beacon  Beacon  LID measures in the form of soak-away pits are proposed as indicated on the FSR.  Valdor  Valdor  A Species at Risk Screening has been completed as a have habitat assessment and species or suitable habitat present, no additional habitat present.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
groundwater has been met. Please include the seasonally high groundwater level on the infiltration lacility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), sitly sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Soil Engineers; Valdor  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers; Valdor Soil Engineers)  The calculations for the soak-away pits are included in the FSR.  A SWH Assessment has been included in the revised EIS (Beacon (Beacon 2024)  16. Beacon (Beacon 2024)  17. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  Valdor Servicing Plan.  A Species at Risk screening habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024), Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional habits and present and supplied to the				, , , ,		The state of the s
facility cross sections.  11. Per the borehole logs included in the Hydrogeological Assessment (Soil Engineers, ebruary 2021), sitly sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.    12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.    13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.    14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.    A SWH Assessment has been included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Beacon (Beacon 2024)   Beacon (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are proposed as included in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)   Calculations for the soal-way pits are proposed as included in the rev				,		
2021), sitly sand till is found in every borehole on the site. As the permeability estimated for the soil type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate.  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petiticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  16. Beacon  17. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  18. Beacon  19. Beacon  19. Beacon  10. Beacon  10. Beacon  10. Beacon  11. Deasures in the form of soak-away pits are proposed as indicated on the Functional Valdor  11. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  19. A SWH Assessment has been conducted in the revised EIS (2024), Given the absence of species or suitable absints present, no additional habitat present, no additional		59		, , ,	Valdor/Soil Engineers	
type was 10-6 cm/s, please provide justification for using a higher infiltration rate in some LID calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.    Soil Engineers; Valdor						The calculations for the soak-
Calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.  Soil Engineers; Valdor  Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present, hose delta for the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)  16. Beacon (Beacon 2024)  17. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present, hose delta for uncompleted as for the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
Soil Engineers; Valdor						FSR.
Ecology Comments  12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present in the revised EIS (Beacon 2024)  16. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional		00		calculations or revise the drawdown calculations in the FSR to use the lower infiltration rate.	Cail Engineers Valder	
12. In the absence of completed breeding bird surveys please complete a screening assessment of any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as soak-away pits are proposed as indicated on teh Functional Servicing Plan.  16. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  17. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be absence of species as a Risk screening has been completed as have habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional	-		u. Commonto		Soil Engineers; valdor	
any Significant Wildlife Habitat present within the cultural meadow.  Beacon	1	COIOG	ly Comments	12. In the absence of completed breeding bird gungue places complete a correction approximation	I	A CWH Assessment has been
Beacon (Beacon 2024)  13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  16. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Petticoat Creek with be maintained, please soak-away pits are proposed as indicated on the Functional Valdor  16. Valdor  16. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  18. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional		61		any Significant whome Plabitat present within the Cultural meadow.	Pagan	
provide further information on on-site opportunities to maintain the existing water budget such as rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  15. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that has been completed as have habitat assessment and species species species species species species or suitable habitat present, no additional	$\vdash$	01		13. It is unclear how the pre- to post-drainage towards Patticoat Creek with he maintained places	Deacon	( )
rear yard runoff and clean roof runoff.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that  A Species at Risk screening has been completed as have habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
Valdor Servicing Plan.  14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  A Species at Risk screening has been completed as have habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MECP regarding any endangered species that may be.  A Species at Risk screening has been completed as have habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional		62		Total yard ranon and olour root ranon.	Valdor	
may be.  has been completed as have habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional	H	02		14. Please conduct a screening consultation with MFCP regarding any endangered species that	Valadi	
habitat assessment and species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
species specific surveys. This analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
analysis is included in the revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
revised EIS (2024). Given the absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
absence of species or suitable habitat present, no additional						
habitat present, no additional						
63 Beacon		63			Beacon	

		Í	15. Please confirm that fencing (chain-linked) will be installed at the lot limits.		Cahin link fence has been
			To the course of		provided, see updated site
64				jardin; owner	plan.
			16. Please ensure that the development is in conformity with regional or City Tree Protection		The proposed development is
			bylaws.		in conformity with the regional
					and city tree protection by-
		Development Planning and			laws as Section 2 of the City's
	Stephanie Dore	Permits			By-Law (By-law 8073/24) and
		i eiiiits			Section 4 of the Region's By-
					Law (By-Law 30-2020) include
					an exemption for any tree
					removal, injury or destruction
					approved as a site plan, plan
					of subdivision or consent
					under the Planning Act.An
					Arborist Report has been
					prepared and an addendum
					issued to address the
					requirements of the City' Tree
					Protection Bylaws.
65				Beacon	
			17. Please provide a construction sequencing plan, and a grading plan, at the detailed design		To be prepared at detailed
66			stage.	Valdor	engineering design stage.
			18. At the detailed design stage, an Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan is required detailing		
			the location and typical drawings of ESC's implemented on site, as well as the phasing/staging of		
			ESC measures required before, during, and post construction. Please refer to TRCA's Erosion &		
			Sediment Control Guideline for Urban Construction (2020) and include all applicable TRCA standard		To be prepared at detailed
67			notes on the drawings.	Valdor	engineering design stage.
			19. Prior to site disturbance and tree removals, the contractor/proponent should ensure that the		
			works are in conformance with the Migratory Birds Convention Act. Please note that the general		
			breeding bird timing window for this area is April 1st to August 31st, however, breeding activities		
68			might initiate prior to and continue past this period.	Owner	Noted
Hydrog	geology Commnents				
			20. LIDs - If infiltration focused LIDs are proposed, TRCA requires:		LIDs in the form of soak-away
			a. (1) an insitu test at the site of the proposed LID and		pits are indicated on the
			b. (2) 1.0 m of separation from the seasonally high groundwater level and the base of the LID. In		Functional Servicing Plan
			addition, TRCA recommends a plan view map illustrating the seasonally highwater table in relation		together with the separation
			to the proposed LIDs be prepared.		distance from the groundwater
	Ctanhania Dava	Development Planning and			level. Sizing calculations are
	Stephanie Dore	Permits			included in the FSR.
69			C4 Farmer and the plane of the anti-section and the set of the section and	Valdor/Soil Engineers	Taranta altras will be a second in d
			21. For any servicing, please utilize anti-seepage collars and trench plugs where open cut		Trench plugs will be specified
			excavation occurs below the groundwater table.		on the proposed sewer, if requried, at the detailed
					subdivision engineering design
70				Valdor	stage
	Pickering, Engineerin	g Services		1	Glade.
	pment Services				
Genera	al Comments				
2 3.1.510			1. The Owner shall satisfy all requirements, financial and otherwise, of the City of Pickering. This		Noted
			shall include, among other matters, the execution of a subdivision agreement between the owner		
			and the City of Pickering concerning the provision and installation of services, grading, drainage		
71			and other local services and including the following:		
	·	<del>:</del>			

85 86		ļ-	o) that the Owner submit a boulevard street tree planting plan to the satisfaction of the City;	Beacon	
				l	
			entire perimeter of the subject lands during construction, prior to the commencement of any works;		
	Richard Holborn Director,	, Linding Chind Scivices	n) that the Owner satisfy the City with respect to the provision of temporary fencing around the		Noted
84					
			7) details of the temporary construction access;		
			6) location of construction trailers;		
			5) type and timing of construction fencing;		
			4) the provision of mud and dust control on all roads within and adjacent to the site;		
			suppliers are advised of this By-law;		
			3) confirmation that the City's Noise By-law will be adhered to and that all contractors, trades and		
			traffic or emergency vehicles on either existing streets or the proposed public street;		
			servicing and building construction, and ensuring that such locations will not impede the flow of		
			2) addressing the parking of vehicles and the storage of construction and building materials during		
			maintenance requirements to maintain these controls;		
			1) details of erosion and sedimentation controls during all phases of construction and provide		
			such Plan to contain, among other things:	inc.	engineering design stage.
			m) that the Owner make arrangements with the City respecting a Construction Management Plan,	59 project management	To be addressed at detailed
83			and are to be granted upon request at any time after draft approval;		
			servicing and such easements shall be in a location as determined by the City and/or the Region		110100
			I) that the Owner arrange at no costs to the City any easements required on third party lands for		Noted
82			location(s) to the satisfaction of the City and the utility;		110.00
01			k) that the Owner convey any easement to any utility to facilitate the installation of their services in a		Noted
81			ii. any reserves as required by the City.		
			i. any easements as required and;	Owner, Surveyor	Noted
60			j) that the Owner convey to the City at no costs:	Owner; Surveyor	Noted
80			services necessitated by this development shall be the responsibility of the Subdivider;		engineering design stage.
			i) that the cost of any relocation, extension, alteration or extraordinary maintenance of existing	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
79			underground wiring, street lighting, cable television, natural gas and other similar services;	Voldor	engineering design stage.
70			h) that the Owner satisfy the appropriate authorities respecting arrangements for the provision of	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
78			by the City;	\/-I-I	engineering design stage.
7.0			g) that the Owner satisfy the City respecting arrangements for the provision of all services required	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
77			sewers, sidewalks and boulevard designs;	\/-I-I	engineering design stage.
			f) that the Owner satisfy the Director, Engineering Services respecting the construction of storm	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
76			abutting land owners for all offsite grading;	N/ 11	engineering design stage.
			e) that the Owner satisfy the Director, Engineering Services respecting the authorization from	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
75					
					was prepared for the project.
			of a geotechnical soils analysis;		S057 dated February 2020
			d) that the Owner satisfy the Director, Engineering Services respecting the submission and approval	Soil Engineers	Geotechnical report Ref. 1911-
74			a grading control plan;		engineering design stage.
			c) that the Owner satisfy the Director, Engineering Services respecting submission and approval of	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
73			management maintenance fees;		engineering design stage.
			b) that the Owner satisfy the Director, Engineering Services for contributions for stormwater	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
72			easements;		chighleening design stage.
			management system to service all the lands in the subdivision, and any provisions regarding	Valuoi	engineering design stage.
			a) that the Owner satisfy the Director, Engineering Services respecting a stormwater drainage and	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed

87			p) that the Owner ensure that the engineering plans are coordinated with the streetscape/architectural control guidelines and further that the engineering plans coordinate the driveway, street hardware, and street trees to ensure that conflicts do not exist, asphalt is minimized, and all objectives of the streetscape/architectural control guidelines can be achieved;	Valdor; jardin	To be addressed at detailed engineering design stage.
88			q) that the Owner satisfy the City respecting the submission of appropriate engineering drawings that detail, among other things, City services, roads, storm sewers, sidewalks, site grading, streetlights, fencing and tree planting, and financially secure such works.	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed engineering design stage.
89			Fencing will be required for lots and blocks that are;     a) adjacent to or backing onto Open Space Lands;     b) as per Noise Attenuation Report;	jardin; owner	Noted, see updated site plan.
90			3. The City of Pickering's Fill & Topsoil By-law prohibits soil disturbance, removal or importation of material to the site unless a permit has been issued. No on-site works prior to Draft Plan Approval is permitted. A Fill and Topsoil Permit will be required should grading works proceed prior to a Subdivision Agreement.	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed engineering design stage.
91			4. That the Owner, through the approval of the Utility Coordination Plan for the location, is to enter into an agreement with Canada Post Corporation for the provision of community mailboxes, including technical specifications and financial terms.	Valdor; jardin	To be addressed at detailed engineering design stage.
92			5. That the Owner satisfy the City of Pickering with regards to the Development Services Engineering Review Fee, Grading Review Fee and Development Services Inspection Fees.	Owner	Noted
93			6. As per the Development Services User Fee Schedule, provide payment in the amount of \$4,000.00 for the review of the Functional Servicing Report (FSR).	Owner	Noted, review fee will be provided once the resubmission is made.
94			7. As-built information for the adjacent development to the west is unavailable. The applicant will be required to verify all existing inverts prior to detailed design.	.,	To be addressed at detailed engineering design stage.
95			8. The applicant will be required to urbanize the section of Finch Avenue fronting the site, including widening the pavement to match the width to the west. Widening is to end opposite the existing on the south side. A sidewalk will be required across the Finch Avenue frontage terminating at the west property line of 220 Finch Avenue. The City will cost share for this portion of the works. A separate cost estimate for these external works will be required at detailed design.	Owner; jardin	Noted
96			9. The existing sidewalk on the north side of Nature Haven Crescent will be required to be extended down to Finch Avenue. This will be the developer's cost.	Owner	There is an existing side walk located on the west side of Nature Haven connected to the existing side walk on the north side of Nature Haven providing access to Finch Avenue.
97			10. Pre-condition surveys of 182 Nature Haven Crescent and 220 Finch Avenue will be required prior to executing the Subdivision Agreement. This will be a condition of approval.	Surveyor	Noted
98			11. The 0.3m reserve (Block 45, Plan 40M-2343), will be required to be lifted as a condition of approval.	Surveyor; Owner; DPS	Noted.
99			12. A 0.3m reserve will be required along the flankage of Lot 3.	Surveyor; DPS; jardin	Noted.
			13. The site is located within the Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) screening area. A copy of TRCA approval will be required for our records prior to approval.		Noted
100					Al ( )
100 101			14. Note that further detailed comments shall be deferred to the detailed design stage.		Noted
100 101 <b>Draft P</b>	lan of Subdivision				
100 101	rlan of Subdivision Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	<ul> <li>14. Note that further detailed comments shall be deferred to the detailed design stage.</li> <li>15. Update the Draft Plan number to SP-2022-01.</li> <li>16. Label the centerline of road.</li> </ul>	DPS DPS	See updated draft plan See updated draft plan
100 101 <b>Draft P</b> 102 103		Director, Engineering Services	15. Update the Draft Plan number to SP-2022-01.		See updated draft plan
100 101 <b>Draft P</b> 102 103	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	15. Update the Draft Plan number to SP-2022-01.		See updated draft plan

106		1	19. Provide the location for snow storage on the plan.	iardin	See updated site plan
100	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	20. The plan indicates proposed culverts for the driveways of Lot 1 and Lot 2. The Finch Avenue	iardin	See updated site plan
			boulevard and roadway will be urbanized with this development and culverts will not be required.	,	Con ap addica one prain
107			Remove the culverts from the plan.		
Prelimin	nary Grading Plan		•	•	·
			21. Provide lot numbers on the plan.	Valdor	Lot numbers are indicated on
108					the Functional Grading Plan.
			22. Provide labels for maintenance holes and catch basins on the plan.	Valdor	MH and CB labels have been
					indicated on the Functional
109			00 P 11 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	\/ O	Plans.
			23. Provide existing contour lines at 0.5m intervals.	Valdor; Surveyor	Existing contour lines are indicated on the Functional
110					Plans.
110			24. Clearly show and label all LID measures.	Valdor	The Soak-away pits are
			24. Oleany show and label all Lib measures.	Valdoi	indicated on the Functional
111					Servicing Plan
				Valdor	The curb radii have been
112			25. Clearly identify locations of all curbs, including radii labels and provide reference to a standard.		labelled.
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	26. The location of the curb outlet at the east limit of the development will be in conflict with the	Valdor	The driveway on Lot 1 has
			proposed driveway for Lot 1 and the existing driveway at 220 Finch Avenue. Revise the plan as		been moved.
113			required.		
			27. Lots 1, 5, 6, and 7 are split draining lots, with the rear yards proposed to drain to the adjacent	Valdor	An RLCB has been added.
			property. All drainage is to be contained on the site. Alternatively, provide written confirmation from		
114			the TRCA that they are willing to accept this drainage.		
115			28. Provide a minimum 1.0% grade around the gutter, as per City of Pickering design guidelines.	Valdor	The gutter slope has been revised.
			29. Indicate overland flow routes on the plan.	Valdor	The overland flow route is
					indicated on the Functional
116					Grading Plan.
			30. Provide the topographical survey information on the plan.	Valdor	The topo survey information is
					indicated on the Functional
117					Grading Plan.
Prelimin	nary Servicing Plan	Г	24. Dravida let numbera en the plan	Valdor	Lot numbers are indicated as
			31. Provide lot numbers on the plan.	valdor	Lot numbers are indicated on the Functional Servicing Plan.
118					the Functional Servicing Flan.
110			32. Bends should be avoided for all service connections.	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
119	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	52. 2540 5544 50 410404 for all corrido confidencia.	- aidoi	engineering design stage.
			33. Review for alternative locations for the service connections for Lot 7 with the detailed design so	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
120			they do not connect directly to the maintenance holes.		engineering design stage.
			34. Additional catchbasins may be required on Finch Avenue fronting Lots1-3, when it is urbanized.	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
121					engineering design stage.
Function	nal Servicing and Sto	rmwater Management Report			
			35. Confirm that the Region of Durham is satisfied with proposed sanitary and water services.	Valdor	The Region did not have any
					comments related to the
					service connections. We
					expect that they will complete
					a review at the detailed
122					engineering design stage.
122	Richard Holhorn	Director Engineering Services		L	

	Monara Holbotti	Director, Engineering Dervices	36. Update Section 6.2 of the report regarding pedestrian access. As per comments 7 and 8 above,	Valdor	The report has been revised to
			new sidewalks will be required on Nature Haven Crescent and Finch Avenue.		indciate the proposed sidewalk
					on Finch Avenue. Hature
					Haven Crescent already has a
123					sidewalk.
			37. Additional comments may be provided once the report has been revised as per Water	Valdor	Noted.
124			Resources comments below.		
Constru	ction Management Pl	an			
			38. Section 3 states that it may be required to occupy adjacent areas temporarily. Any access on	59 project management	To be addressed at detailed
			adjacent properties will require written permission from the adjacent property owner prior to access.	inc.	engineering design stage.
125			Include this in the section.		
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	39. Review the by-laws listed in Section 4.1 and ensure they are named according to the City	59 project management	To be addressed at detailed
126			of Pickering by-laws.	inc.	engineering design stage.
			40. Silt sacks will be required in all catch basins. Update section 4.6 as required.	59 project management	To be addressed at detailed
127			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	inc.	engineering design stage.
Geotech	nnical Investigation R	eport			
128	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	41. Ensure all recommendations are incorporated into the site design.		Noted
	eological Assessmen	, 0			
129	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	42. We have no comments on the Hydrogeological Assessment.		Noted
	mental Impact Study	2ocio., 2gocig cooc	interval and the definition of the control of the		
130	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	43. We have no comments on the Environmental Impact Study.		Noted
	easibility Study	Bricotor, Engineering Corvices	The we have no commond on the Environmental impact clady.	I.	110100
131	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	44. We have no comments on the Noise Feasibility Study.		Noted
	One Environmental Si		144. We have no comments on the Noise Feasibility orday.		140160
132	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	45. We have no comments on the Phase One Environmental Site Assessment.		Noted
	Opinion Letter	Director, Engineering Services	45. We have no comments on the Phase One Environmental Site Assessment.		Noted
133	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	46. We have no comments on the Traffic Opinion Letter.		Noted
	esources Comments	Director, Engineering Services	140. We have no comments on the Hamic Opinion Letter.		Noted
vvaler ix	esources comments		I. m.	I	
			The proponent has not sufficiently addressed the Water Resources criteria documented in	Valdor	Stormwater detention
			the Pre-Consultation Meeting minutes, dated December 14, 2017, and therefore objects to the Draft		measures have been
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	Plan of Subdivision and Zoning-By-law Amendment applications.		incorporated into the design
					as indciated on the Fuctional
134			The proponent shall contact Water Resources to discuss the criteria in detail.		Servicing Plan.
	Projects Comments				
General	Comments				
			Provide restoration details for the sewer service connection and water service connection to	Valdor	To be addressed at detailed
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	Nature Haven Crescent. The curb is to be replaced as per OPSD 600.070. All boulevard restoration		engineering design stage.
	Monard Holbotti	Director, Engineering Services	is to include a minimum of 300mm topsoil and sod. Asphalt restoration is to be as per Standard P-		
135			720 and from the centre line of the road, and is to be replaced in kind.		
Traffic C	Comments				
Site Pla	n				
			2. Provide autoturn maneuvering diagrams for the cars and the delivery vehicles (i.e. fire truck,	jardin; CGE	provided in the traffic letter
136	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	snow plow vehicles) at the entrance.		update
137		- <del>-</del>	3. As per the City of Pickering Guidelines, a sight triangle is required.	jardin	
Traffic F	Report		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
			4. Page 1 of the report says that Finch Avenue is a collector road. As per the City of Pickering	CGE	See updated traffic letter
			Official Plan Edition 8, Finch Avenue is an Arterial C road west of Altona Road and Arterial Road B		
138			east of Altona Road. Revise the report accordingly.		
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	On the bottom of Page 1 the line saying "The subject site location is illustrated in Error! Not a	CGE	See updated traffic letter
139			valid bookmark self-reference". Please confirm and revise as required.		Coo apactod traino lotto
100			6. Section 2 - Based on the ITE manual, show how much traffic the proposed site will generate. Add	CGE	See updated traffic letter
140			a separate table in the study.	001	cee apaated traine letter
	ape & Parks Developr	mont Commonts	ja separate table ili tile study.		
Lanusca	ape & raiks Developi	HEIR COMMENTS			

			1. The Arborist Report indicates that based on the City's Tree Compensation Policy and number of	Beacon	Any additional tree impacts to
			trees proposed for removal, 122 trees are required for compensation.		trees within the municipal right-
			Compensation can be made in the form of on-site or off-site planting programs or cash-in lieu. The		of-way will be determined
			plan does indicate preservation of tree numbers 104-110 and 118-125, which are located in on the		through detailed design.
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	Finch Avenue Boulevard. Assuming that this section of boulevard will be urbanized (removal of		
	Richard Holborn	Director, Engineering Services	ditch and installation of sidewalk), these trees will also need to be removed and compensated for.		
			unternation of sidewalky, these trees will also need to be removed and compensated for.		
141					N. C. LANGUL. L. C. C. L. C.
			2. A boulevard tree planting plan is required for both Nature Haven Crescent and on Finch Avenue		Noted. Will be determined at
142	· B: 1 ·	**	if it is being urbanized. Boulevard trees do not form part of the tree compensation.		detailed design stage.
City o	Pickering, Sustainabil	ity		I	
			Staff encourage all proponents to consider incorporating green/sustainability features into		
			development proposals to align with the City's community sustainability goals and objectives. The		
			following are our comments in response to the information contained in the Sustainability		
58			Development Checklist prepared by Design Plan Services Inc., dated January 2022.		
			1. The applicant has indicated the proposal would achieve a total of 27 points, which exceeds the		
			minimum level 1 (19 points) required. However, when points identified in Schedule N are added (19		See updated sustainability
			plus 6 points), the total points are 25 and not 27. Staff are pleased to see that the proposal exceeds		checklist, total point achieved
			level 1; however, as part of the second submission, an updated Sustainability Development		has been revised to 24 (19
59			Checklist and cover letter are required.	DPS	plus 5 points).
			Staff request clarification or confirmation regarding the points claimed for extensive consultation	J. 0	pide e penneji
			for the proposed applications. Three points are claimed for extensive consultation on sustainability		
					See updated sustainability
			elements (Item 1.1). To date, staff have not had any in-depth discussions regarding sustainability		
			elements for the proposed development but would be happy to meet to discuss if the applicant so		checklist, points have been
60			desires	DPS	removed from item 1.1
61			3. Staff recommend the applicant consider the inclusion/integration of the following measures:		
			- Provide enhanced Integrated Environmental Systems Protection, and where feasible, exceed		The report and plans indicate
			TRCA regulatory requirements, biodiversity protection and natural heritage protection requirements		LIDs in the form of soak-away
62			(Items 2.13 to 2.16).	Beacon/Valdor	pits.
			- Maximize site design permeability by providing enhanced low-impact development measures		LID measures are proposed in
			(such as permeable paving or bioswales to improve drainage). Where feasible, the proposed		the form of infiltration facilities.
			development should consider permeable pavers to help maintain water balance targets (Item 2.18).		
63				Valdor	
			- Due to the proximity to the natural area, provide additional landscaping, native and drought		Noted. Will be determined at
			tolerant trees and shrubs (at least 50 percent native species and planting for the landscape area)		detailed design stage.
65			(Item 2.21).		actument according to angle
			- Provide a brief explanation of net environmental gain through the restoration, where feasible (Item		Noted. Will be determined at
66	Lalita Paray	Senior Planner - Sustainability	2.23).		detailed design stage.
- 00			- In the applicant's resident information package to new residents, provide information regarding		detailed design stage.
67			pesticides and fertilizer use adjacent to the natural area (Item 2.24).	Owner	Acknowledged.
67			- Consider rough-ins for electric vehicle (EV) charging for units as the proportion of EVs is expected	OWITEI	, and the second
			. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Acknowledged. EV charging
			to increase significantly, and the cost of retrofitting is far greater than it is to provide rough-in		will be considered at detailed
68			capacity (Item 6.4).	jardin	design stage
			- Support alternative transportation by providing bicycle storage spaces for residents, such as an		Acknowledged, will be
			optional bicycle storage space/storage area within the proposed interior garage area (Item 6.4).		considered at detailed design
69			The state of the s	jardin	stage.
			- Consider orienting buildings and windows to maximize the use of natural sunlight.	ĺ	Acknowledged. will be
					considered at detailed design
70				iardin	stage.
10			- Due to the proximity of the natural area, consider exterior lighting to be Dark Sky Compliant	Jarani	ctugo.
			(consideration to light pollution effects on wildlife and ecosystems) with the exemption of street		Acknowledged, will be
1					•
			lighting, which is governed by the City's Street Lighting Requirements. Additional information can be	1	considered at detailed design
71	l		found on the Dark Sky website.	jardin	stage.

72	ı		- Where feasible, provide green upgrades to purchasers/new homeowners.	Owner	Acknowledged.
12			- Use non-toxic and recycled content building products.	Owner	Acknowledged, will be
			goo non tolle and rooy order content building productor		considered at detailed design
73				liardin	stage.
			4. There are many incentives, programs and technological examples that the applicant may find	,	Acknowledged.
			beneficial to investigate such as:		3.1
			- Enbridge's Savings by Design program: www.savingsbydesign.ca		
			- Passive House: https://www.passivehousecanada.com/aboutpassive-house-canada/		
			- Residential grey water recycling systems		
74			- Energy Star: https://www.energystar.gov/	Owner	
CP Pro	oximity Ontario				
			Thank you for the recent notice respecting the captioned development proposal in the vicinity of		
			Canadian Pacific Railway Company. The safety and welfare of residents can be adversely affected		
			by rail operations and CP is not in favour of residential uses that are not compatible with rail		
			operations. CP freight trains operate 24/7 and schedules/volumes are subject to change. CP's		
75			approach to development in the vicinity of rail operations is encapsulated by the recommended		Noted
			CP recommends that the below condition be inserted in all property and tenancy agreements and		
			offers of purchase and sale for all dwelling units in the proposed building(s):		
			"O II D II		
		CP Proximity Ontario	"Canadian Pacific Railway and/or its assigns or successors in interest has or have a railway right-of-		
		OF FIOAIIIIII OIIIaii	way and/or yard located adjacent to the subject land hereof with operations conducted 24 hours a		
			day, 7 days a week, including the shunting of trains and the idling of locomotives. There may be		
			alterations to, or expansions of, the railway facilities and/or operations in the future, which		
			alterations or expansions may affect the living environment of the residents in the vicinity.		
			Notwithstanding the inclusion of any noise and/or vibration attenuating measures in the design of		
			the development and individual dwellings, Canadian Pacific Railway will not be responsible for		
76			complaints or claims arising from the use of its facilities and/or its operations on, over, or under the	Owner	Noted
			Should the captioned development proposal receive approval, CP respectfully requests that the		
77			recommended guidelines be followed.		Noted
Elexic	on Energy Inc.				
			Further to the referenced File # SP-2022-01 Elexicon Energy Inc. has no objection to the proposed		Noted
			Site Plan Application to permit a residential development consisting of eight lots for detached		
88			dwellings on subject land.		
			The applicant or its authorized representative shall consult with Elexicon Energy Inc. concerning the		Noted
			availability of supply voltage, service location, metering, costs and any other details. These		
			requirements are separate from and in addition to those of the ESA. Elexicon Energy Inc. will		
			confirm the characteristics of the available electrical supply and will designate the location of the		
			supply point to the applicant. Elexicon Energy Inc. will also identify the costs that the applicant will		
89			be responsible for.	Owner; jardin; Valdor	
	Hocine Boudhar	Planning and Standards	The applicant or its authorized representative shall apply for new or upgraded electric services and		Noted
		3 a	temporary power service in writing. The applicant is required to provide Elexicon Energy Inc. with		
90			sufficient lead-time in order to ensure:	Owner	
91			a) The timely provision of supply to new and upgraded premises; and/or	Owner	Noted
			b) The availability of adequate capacity for additional loads to be connected in the existing premises		Noted
92				Owner	
			Please ensure that Elexicon's approved standards and clearances are followed, for all structures,		Noted
			equipment, and people.		
			For all future applications and related correspondences bindly forward digital conics to		
93			For all future applications and related correspondences kindly forward digital copies to:		
	lao.		DevelopmentApplications@elexiconenergy.com		
Enbrid	ige _				

Oliver Prcic  Community Planner - B&A Planning Group  As demonstrated in Attachment 01   Approximate Location of Pipeline Infrastructure the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision plan area is south of the Enbridge pipeline less than 220 meters which places part of the site within the pipeline assessment area. Three of the eight proposed lots would fall partially within the assessment area. The pipeline travels past north of the site and never crosses the proposed subdivision.  Assessment and Requirements  Based on a review of the information provided, the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision does not have a density that would cause class implications to the nearby pipeline. In addition, the application, is not proposing any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area. Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements detailed within Attachment 2015 Exhibition Provided in the proposed Land Use Amendment & and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Oliver Prcic Planning Group  Land Use Amendment & Subdivision plan area is south of the Enbridge pipeline less than 220 meters which places part of the site within the pipeline assessment area. Three of the eight proposed lots would fall partially within the assessment area. The pipeline travels past north of the site and never crosses the proposed subdivision.  Assessment and Requirements  Based on a review of the information provided, the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision does not have a density that would cause class implications to the nearby pipeline. In addition, the application, is not proposing any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area. Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Oliver Prcic Planning Group  Land Use Amendment & Subdivision plan area is south of the Enbridge pipeline less than 220 meters which places part of the site within the pipeline assessment area. Three of the eight proposed lots would fall partially within the assessment area. The pipeline travels past north of the site and never crosses the proposed subdivision.  Assessment and Requirements  Based on a review of the information provided, the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision does not have a density that would cause class implications to the nearby pipeline. In addition, the application, is not proposing any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area. Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
meters which places part of the site within the pipeline assessment area. Three of the eight proposed lots would fall partially within the assessment area. The pipeline travels past north of the site and never crosses the proposed subdivision.    Assessment and Requirements	
proposed lots would fall partially within the assessment area. The pipeline travels past north of the    Site and never crosses the proposed subdivision.	
94 site and never crosses the proposed subdivision.  Assessment and Requirements  Based on a review of the information provided, the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision does not have a density that would cause class implications to the nearby pipeline. In addition, the application, is not proposing any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area.  Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Noted  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Assessment and Requirements  Based on a review of the information provided, the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision does not have a density that would cause class implications to the nearby pipeline. In addition, the application, is not proposing any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area.  Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Noted  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Based on a review of the information provided, the proposed Land Use Amendment & Subdivision does not have a density that would cause class implications to the nearby pipeline. In addition, the application, is not proposing any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area.  Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Noted  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Oliver Prcic  Community Planner - B&A Planning Group  Community Planner - B&A Planning Group  Oliver Prcic  Oliver	
Oliver Prcic Oliver Prcic Oliver Prcic Oliver Prcic Community Planner - B&A Planning Group Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Oliver Prcic Oliver Prcic Oliver Prcic Oliver Prcic Community Planner - B&A Planning Group Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.  Noted Noted Noted Noted Noted Noted Noted Noted	
Oliver Prcic Community Planner - B&A Planning Group Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Oliver Prcic  Planning Group  Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed Land Use Amendment & 8-lot Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
Subdivision at this time, the pipeline is located approximately 200m north of the subject site, and further development in this area could have impacts on the pipeline. Therefore, all the requirements	
detailed within Attendment 02   Enhanced Descriptions and Descriptions and accept to adhered to far all	
detailed within Attachment 02   Enbridge Development Requirements must be adhered to for all	
96 future development.	
Requirements	
1) Obtain a Locate Request: To identify the precise alignment of the pipeline on the subject lands, Noted, will be re	
97 a Locate Request must be made prior to any ground disturbance taking place. during detailed of	esign
2) No development is permitted within the Enbridge right-of-way without Enbridge's written consent	
98 and without the presence of an Enbridge representative on site.	
3) Written Consent from Enbridge is required for ground disturbance within 30m Noted, will be pr	ovided during
perpendicularly on each side from the centreline of the pipeline known as the "Prescribed detailed design	
Area". For more information about when written consent is required and how to submit an	
application, please see Attachment 03   Enbridge Pipeline Crossing Guidelines.	
4) Written Consent from Enbridge is required for all above and below ground crossings of the	
pipeline. For more information about when written consent is required and how to submit an	
application, please see Attachment 03   Enbridge Pipeline Crossing Guidelines.	
The written outborization reguest must include:	
The written authorization request must include:	
a. Drawings with cross sections of the proposed roads and verification of the depth of cover from both sides of the road.	
102 b. Drawings should include any new utilities that will cross the right-of-way.	
5) <b>Road Crossings:</b> Where future development such as a roadway or a parking area is proposed	
over the pipeline right-of-way. Enbridge may be required to carry out pipeline inspection and	
recoating of the existing pipeline(s) prior to the start of the development. The costs of Enbridge's	
design, inspection, recoating work and any other pipeline alteration as a result of the crossing will be	
borne by the Developer.	
6) Subdivision lot lines should not divide the pipeline right-of-way. Wherever possible,	
subdivision boundaries should follow the right-of-way. If this is not possible specific language must  Noted, the subd	vision will
be included in the offers of sale or lease. Please see Attachment 02 for details.	
7) Development setbacks from pipelines and rights-of-way are recommended in support of	
damage prevention and to allow both pipeline operators and developers buffer lands for operations	
105 Community Planner - B&A and maintenance purposes.  Noted.	
Oliver Proc   Planning Group   8) Landscaping shall not take place on Enbridge's pipeline right-of-way without Enbridge's prior	
written consent and where consent is granted such landscaping must be performed in accordance	
with Enbridge's Pipeline Crossing Guidelines. Please see Attachment 02 for details.	
106 Noted.	
9) Pathways shall not be installed on Enbridge's pipeline right-of-way without Enbridge's prior	
written consent and where consent is granted pathways must be designed in accordance with	
Enbridge's requirements. Please see Attachment 02 for details.	

	<b>.</b>				
			10) <b>Fencing</b> should be installed along the identified open space easement. Please see Attachment		
108			02 for details.	1	loted.
	1		11) Written consent must be obtained from Enbridge for ongoing activities such as mowing or		
109			maintenance of the pipeline right-of-way on public lands.	N	Noted.
	1		12) Notifications of additional development for Class monitoring: As per Federal and Provincial		
			Regulatory Requirements and Standards, pipeline operators are required to monitor all new		
			development in the vicinity of their pipelines that results in an increase in population or employment.		
			Therefore, please keep us informed of any additional development being proposed within the		
			Pipeline Assessment Area indicated in Attachment 01   Approximate Location of Pipeline		
110			Infrastructure.	I N	Noted.
			The above requirements are those identified as relevant based on the application materials		
			provided. Additional detail on these requirements and other general development requirements		
			are included in Attachment 02   Enbridge Development Requirements. For additional resources on		
			safe development in proximity of Enbridge's pipeline network please visit		
			https://www.enbridge.com/projects-and-infrastructure/public-awareness/brochures and		
			https://www.enbridge.com/projects-and-infrastructure/public-awareness/land-use-planning-		
111			anddevelopment.		Noted.
111	1		•		voicu:
			Please continue to keep us informed about the outcome of the project and any future policy, land		
			use, subdivision, and development activities in proximity to Enbridge's pipelines and facilities.		
			Application referrals, project notifications and any questions regarding land use planning and		
112			development around pipelines should be sent to notifications@Enbridge.com.	N	loted.
Trans	-Northen Pipelines Inc				
	Renée Flowerday	Property and Right of Way	We can confirm that TNPI has NO infrastructure in the mentioned area.		
113	Reflect lowerday	Administrator		1	Noted
Durha	ım Regional Police				
			In response to the comments request for the property detailed in the PDF sent below (attached),		
			attached is the microwave obstruction scan for this location and I can say that any construction		
			in the outlined area will fortunately pose no immediate obstruction issue from the Region's		
			NextGen radio system and associated microwave links.		
			NextGerradio system and associated microwave links.		
444	Stephen Orr	Radia Systems Communications	ls		Noted
114	Stephen On	Radio Systems - Communications	Regional Micorwave links are the GREEN lines on the attached diagram.		voted
			In additions to the microwave path obstruction note above INTERNAL in-building radio coverage		
			for First Responders MAY be an issues in the future with larger buildings being constructed		
115	]		in the outlined area.	N	Noted
			Larger and newly contstructed buildings will have to be tested for in-building coverage once the		
116			buildings are completed.	1	Noted
Canad	da Post				
		Delham Candara Off	Canada Post has no comments at this time regarding this application. Delivery to the 8 single		
117	Nikki Talusan	Delivery Services Officer	detached dwellings will be through an existing community mailbox nearby.	N	Voted
Hydro		·	ge ever eve geve ever geve ever geve ever ever		
111) (11)			We have reviewed the documents concerning the noted Plan and have no comments or concerns		
			at this time. Our preliminary review considers issues affecting Hydro One's 'High Voltage Facilities		
110			, ,		loted
118			and Corridor Lands' only.		Voted
			For proposals affecting 'Low Voltage Distribution Facilities' please consult your local area		
			Distribution Supplier. To confirm if Hydro One is your local distributor please follow the following link:		
		Hydro One Netowrks Inc Land	Stormcentre (hydroone.com)		
	Kitty Luk	Use Planning			
		USE Flairing	Please select "Search" and locate address in question by entering the address or by zooming in and		
			out of the map.		
			·		
			If Hydro One is your local area Districbution Supplier, please contact Customer Service at 1-888-		
			664-9376 or e-mail CustomerCommunications@HydroOne.com to be connected to your Local		
110			Operations Centre.		Noted
119	<u> </u>	1	Орегация Оенце.	<u>I</u>	Noted

Metroli	nx				
120	Ryan Courville	Capital Projects Group - Project Manager Third Party Review (Lakeshore East and Stouffville GO)	This is to advise that Metrolinx/GO does not own or operate any rail services in proximity to this location. Canadian Pacific operates freight services on the rail line to the north of this proposed development.		Noted
Enbrid	ge				
121			Enbridge Gas Inc. does not object to the proposed application(s) however, we reserve the right to amend or remove development conditions.		Noted
122			This response does not constitute a pipe locate, clearance for construction or availability of gas.		Noted
123	Jasleen Kaur	Municipal Planning Coordinator - Engineering	The applicant shall contact Enbridge Gas Inc.'s Customer Connections department by emailing AreaPlanning40@Enbridge.com to determine gas availability, service and meter installation details and to ensure all gas piping is installed prior to the commencement of site landscaping (including, but not limited to: tree planting, silva cells, and/or soil trenches) and/or asphalt paving.	Valdor	Noted
124			If the gas main needs to be relocated as a result of changes in the alignment or grade of the future road allowances or for temporary gas pipe installations pertaining to phased construction, all costs are the responsibility of the applicant.	Valdor	Noted
125			In the event that easement(s) are required to service this development, and any future adjacent developments, the applicant will provide the easement(s) to Enbridge Gas Inc. at no cost.	Owner	Noted
Enbride	ge				1000
126		Damage Prevention Program - Enbridge Liquids Pipleine (L) Damage Prevention	We request that this response package is provided in full to the landowner / applicant as it contains useful and important information, including certain requirements that must be followed, in respect of development in proximity of pipelines.		Noted
	ments and Requireme		acrosophicit in proximity or pipolinico.	1	110100
			Based on a review of the project materials provided, the proposed development is not proposing		
127		Damage Prevention Program -	any new crossings or ground disturbance within the prescribed area. Therefore, Enbridge has expressed no objections to this project as proposed.		Noted
		Enbridge Liquids Pipleine (L)  Damage Prevention	Although Enbridge has expressed no objections to the proposed development at this time, the pipeline is located within 200 meters of Enbridge infrastructure and therefore, all the requirements detailed below and within Attachment 02   Enbridge Development Requirements must be adhered to		
128			for all future development.		Noted
	ements				
<u> </u>			1) Obtain a Locate Request: To identify the precise alignment of the pipeline on the subject lands, a		
129			Locate Request must be made prior to any ground disturbance taking place.		Noted.
130			2) No development is permitted within the Enbridge right-of-way without Enbridge's written consent and without the presence of an Enbridge representative on site.		Noted.
			3) Subdivision lot lines should not divide the pipeline right-of-way. Wherever possible, subdivision boundaries should follow the right-of-way. If this is not possible specific language must be included in the offers of sale or lease. Please see Attachment 02   Enbridge Development Requirements for		
131			details.  4) Development setbacks from pipelines and rights-of-way are recommended in support of damage		Noted
132			prevention and to allow both pipeline operators and developers buffer lands for operations and maintenance purposes.		Noted
133			5) Written consent must be obtained from Enbridge for ongoing activities such as mowing or maintenance of the pipeline right-of-way on public lands.		Noted
		Damage Prevention Program - Enbridge Liquids Pipleine (L) Damage Prevention	6) Notifications of additional development for Class monitoring: As per Federal and Provincial Regulatory Requirements and Standards, pipeline operators are required to monitor all new development in the vicinity of their pipelines that results in an increase in population or employment. Therefore, please keep us informed of any additional development being proposed within the Pipeline Assessment Area indicated in Attachment 01   Approximate Location of Pipeline		
134		I	Infrastructure.	<u> </u>	Noted

	•				
			The above requirements are those identified as relevant based on the application materials		
			provided. Additional detail on these requirements and other general development requirements are		
			included in Attachment 02   Enbridge Development Requirements. For additional resources on safe		
			development in proximity of Enbridge's pipeline network please view Enbridge's Public Awareness		
135			Brochures or visit the Land Use Planning and Development website.		Noted
			Please continue to keep us informed about the outcome of the project and any future policy, land		
			use, subdivision, and development activities in proximity to Enbridge's pipelines and facilities. All		
			future project notifications should be sent to notifications@Enbridge.com, while questions about the		
			details of this letter may be sent to the contact listed below. Thanks again for providing us with the		
			opportunity to provide comments on this project and we look forward to working with you in the		
136			future.		Noted
Roll			fluture.		Noted
Dell			The following paragraphs are to be included as a condition of approval:		
			The following paragraphs are to be included as a condition of approval.		
			"The Owner acknowledges and agrees to convey any easement(s) as deemed necessary by Bell		
			Canada to service this new development. The Owner further agrees and acknowledges to convey		
			such easements at no cost to Bell Canada.		
			such easements at no cost to bell Canada.		
			The Owner agrees that should any conflict arise with existing Bell Canada facilities where a current		
			,		
407			and valid easement exists within the subject area, the Owner shall be responsible for the relocation		No. 1
137			of any such facilities or easements at their own cost."	Owner	Noted
			Upon receipt of this comment letter, the Owner is to provide Bell Canada with servicing plans/CUP		To be addressed at detailed
			at their earliest convenience to planninganddevelopment@bell.ca to confirm the provision of		engineering design stage
138			communication/telecommunication infrastructure needed to service the development.	Valdor	
100	Juan Corvalan	Planning and Development	It shall be noted that it is the responsibility of the Owner to provide entrance/service duct(s) from	Valuoi	
	Juan Corvalan	rianning and Development	Bell Canada's existing network infrastructure to service this development. In the event that no such		
			network infrastructure exists, in accordance with the Bell Canada Act, the Owner may be required to		
139				Owner	Noted
139			pay for the extension of such network infrastructure.	Owner	Noted
4.40			If the Owner elects not to pay for the above noted connection, Bell Canada may decide not to	0	Nessed
140			provide service to this development.	Owner	Noted
			To ensure that we are able to continue to actively participate in the planning process and provide		
			detailed provisioning comments, we note that we would be pleased to receive circulations on all		
141			applications received by the Municipality and/or recirculations.		Noted
			We note that WSP operates Bell Canada's development tracking system, which includes the intake		
			and processing of municipal circulations. However, all responses to circulations and requests for		
			information, such as requests for clearance, will come directly from Bell Canada, and not		
140			from WSP. WSP is not responsible for the provision of comments or other responses.		Noted
142					Noted
Rogers			Person Communications Considering ("Person") has reviewed the application for the above	l e	
			Rogers Communications Canada Inc. ("Rogers") has reviewed the application for the above		
			Subdivision and has determined that it intends to offer its communications services to residents of		
1 40			the Subdivision. Accordingly, we request that municipal approval for the Subdivision be granted		
143			subject to the following conditions:	Owner	Noted
			(1) The Owner shall agree in the Subdivision Agreement to (a) permit all CRTClicensed		
			telecommunications companies intending to serve the Subdivision (the "Communications Service		
			Providers") to install their facilities within the Subdivision, and (b) provide joint trenches for such	_	
144			purpose.	Owner	Noted
			(2) The Owner shall agree in the Subdivision Agreement to grant, at its own cost, all easements		
			required by the Communications Service Providers to serve the Subdivision, and will cause the		
145			registration of all such easements on title to the property.	Owner	Noted
-				·	

	Robert Razonable	System Planner	(3) The Owner shall agree in the Subdivision Agreement to coordinate construction activities with the Communications Service Providers and other utilities, and prepare an overall composite utility plan that shows the locations of all utility infrastructure for the Subdivision, as well as the timing and	_	
146	<u> </u>		phasing of installation.	Owner	Noted
			(4) The Owner shall agree in the Subdivision Agreement that, if the Owner requires any existing		
			Rogers facilities to be relocated, the Owner shall be responsible for the relocation of such facilities		
			and provide where applicable, an easement to Rogers to accommodate the relocated facilities.		
147	7			Owner	Noted
			(5) In addition, we kindly request to, where possible, receive copies of the following documents:		
			<ul> <li>(1) the comments received from any of the Communications Service Providers during circulation;</li> <li>(2) the proposed conditions of draft approval as prepared by municipal planners prior to their consideration by Council or any of its committees; and</li> <li>(3) the municipal planners' report recommending draft approval before it goes</li> <li>to Council or any of its committees.</li> </ul>		
148	3			Owner	Noted